

MAY 29 2008

BEFORE THE BOARD OF BARBER EXAMINERS

URANGA & URANGA

STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the License of:)	
)	Case No. BAR-2008-1
PAMELA J. LEE,)	
License No. BR-2825,)	FINDINGS OF FACT,
)	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
Respondent.)	RECOMMENDED ORDER
)	

Having reviewed the Complaint and other documents in this matter, the Hearing Officer hereby enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommended Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Pamela J. Lee (hereinafter "Respondent") is licensed by the Idaho State Board of Barber Examiners (hereinafter "Board") under License No. BR-2825 to engage in the practice of barbering.

2. On May 2, 2008, a formal administrative Complaint was filed in this matter with the Board. Said Complaint is expressly incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

3. Copies of the Complaint, along with the Notification of Procedural Rights, were sent to Respondent on May 2, 2008, by means of the United States Mail, postage prepaid, both by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail. The mailings were addressed to Respondent at her most recent home address on file with the Board, as follows:

Pamela J. Lee
2525 N. 15th #207
Boise, ID 83702

A copy of the Complaint was also sent by both regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, to Respondent at the address used by Respondent when she renewed her Idaho driver's license on January 15, 2008:

Pamela J. Lee
2800 36th Street
Boise, ID 83702

4. The certified mail return receipts indicates that the copies of the Complaint sent by certified mail were received at Respondent's addresses. In addition, the envelopes containing the copies of the Complaints which were sent to Respondent by regular mail was not returned to the sending office.

5. The Notification of Procedural Rights informed Respondent that, under statutes and rules applicable to such proceedings before the Board, Respondent needed to file a formal Answer to the Complaint within twenty-one (21) days of service of the Complaint and that failure to timely file an Answer to the Complaint or otherwise defend against the action would constitute a default and would be sufficient grounds for proceeding administratively against Respondent's license without the necessity of conducting a hearing.

6. On May 30, 2008, a Notice of Proposed Default Order and Default Order, along with another copy of the Complaint and Notification of Procedural Rights, were sent to Respondent by means of the United States Mail, postage prepaid, both by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail, at the following addresses:

Pamela J. Lee
2525 N. 15th #207
Boise, ID 83702

Pamela J. Lee
2800 36th Street
Boise, ID 83702

7. Respondent failed to contest entry of the proposed Default Order within seven (7) days of service of the Notice of Proposed Default Order.

8. Concurrent herewith, a Default Order was entered against Respondent. Therefore, the allegations contained in the Complaint on file in this matter are admitted as

true without the necessity of conducting a hearing.

9. As detailed in the incorporated Complaint:

a. On April 22, 2002, the Board entered into a Stipulation and Consent Order with Respondent in Case No. BAR-L6A-02B-02-001 for Respondent's conviction of a felony.

b. Respondent's License No. BR-2825 expired on August 6, 2007, and Respondent did not renew her license. On October 2, 2007, a Bureau of Occupational Licenses investigator discovered Respondent practicing barbering in an unlicensed barbershop that she was operating known as the Chop Shop located at 527 Americana, Boise, Idaho. Respondent knew that her personal license had expired and that the Chop Shop was not licensed.

c. Respondent renewed her license on November 15, 2007.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As a licensed barber in the State of Idaho, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board and to the provisions of title 54, chapter 5, Idaho Code.

2. The Complaint was sent to Respondent at the address on file with the Board. Respondent was duly and lawfully given notice of proceedings against her license pursuant to the provisions of IDAPA 04.11.01.055.


3. Respondent's failure to plead or otherwise defend in this action authorizes the Board, pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-5242(4) and IDAPA 04.11.01.700, to enter an Order of Default which is as lawful as if all the allegations in the Complaint were proved or admitted at a hearing.

4. Respondent's acts as detailed in the incorporated Complaint constitute violations of Idaho Code §§ 54-515 (failure to renew license), 54-516(6) (unprofessional conduct), 54-516(7) (commission of offenses listed in 54-519: violations of Barbers Act, maintaining unlicensed barbershop), and 54-516(8) (violations of the Barbers Act), thereby authorizing the Board to impose sanctions against Respondent.

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, it is the recommendation of the Hearing Officer that the Board take such action as it deems appropriate consistent with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law stated above.

DATED this 9th day of June, 2008.


Jean R. Uranga
Hearing Officer

NOTICE OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

This is a recommended order of the Hearing Officer. It will not become final without action of the Board. Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of this recommended order with the Hearing Officer issuing the order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The Hearing Officer issuing this recommended order will dispose of any petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See Idaho Code § 67-5243(3).

Within twenty-one (21) days after (a) the service date of this recommended order, (b) the service date of a denial of a petition for reconsideration from this recommended order, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration from this recommended order, any party may in writing support or take exceptions to any part of this recommended order and file briefs in support of the party's position on any issue in the proceeding.

Written briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the recommended order shall be filed with the Board. Opposing parties shall have twenty-one (21) days to respond. The Board may schedule oral argument in the matter before issuing a final order. The Board will issue a final order within fifty-six (56) days of receipt of the written briefs or oral argument, whichever is later, unless waived by the parties and for good cause shown. The Board may remand the matter for further evidentiary hearings if further factual development of the record is necessary before issuing a final order.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 9th day of June, 2008, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by the following method to:

Pamela J. Lee
2525 N. 15th #207
Boise, ID 83702


- ☒ U.S. Mail
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile: _____
- ☐ Statehouse Mail

Pamela J. Lee
2800 36th Street
Boise, ID 83702

- ☒ U.S. Mail
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile: _____
- ☐ Statehouse Mail

Emily A. Mac Master
Deputy Attorney General
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010

- ☒ U.S. Mail
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Facsimile: _____
- ☐ Statehouse Mail



Jean R. Uranga
Hearing Officer